



Granite Hills High School

1701 East Putnam Ave. • Porterville, CA 93257 • (559) 782-7075 • Grades 9-12
Apolinar Marroquin, Principal
amarroqu@portervilleschools.org

2012-13 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2013-14 School Year

Porterville Unified School District

600 West Grand Ave.
Porterville, CA 93257
(559) 793-2400
portervilleschools.org

District Governing Board

Hayley Buettner
Pete Lara, Jr.
Pat Contreras
Sharon Gill
David DePaoli
Richard Morris
Lillian Durbin

District Administration

John Snavelly, Ed.D.
Superintendent

Ken Gibbs, Ed.D.
Assistant Superintendent
Business Services

Martha Stuemky, Ed.D.
Assistant Superintendent
Instructional Services

Nate Nelson, Ed.D.
Assistant Superintendent
Human Resources

About the SARC

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. All data are reported for the 2012-13 school-year, unless otherwise indicated. For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/. For additional information about this school, please contact the school administration at (559) 782-7075.

Principal's Message

Welcome to Granite Hills High School: Home of the "Grizzlies."

The goal at Granite Hills High School is to provide all students with a comprehensive educational program that will enable them to function with integrity, confidence, and competence. We believe all students can learn and all students can be successful. With our strong instructional program and excellent athletic and extracurricular programs, it can truly be said that Granite Hills High School is dedicated to becoming one of the finest high schools in the San Joaquin Valley.

I am honored to be the Principal of Granite Hills High School. I am excited by the new challenges that face all of us as we race into the 21st Century. It is with great anticipation that I look forward to working with you as our students prepare for college and a career, experience academic growth, and become accountable students and citizens. With your assistance, we will teach students to understand their responsibility to our community and to their fellow human beings.

Community & School Profile

Porterville, lying along the foothills of the Sierras, is located on State Highway 65, 165 miles north of Los Angeles, and 171 miles east of the Pacific Coast. Centrally located to major markets, the city has strategic access to major transportation routes. Porterville Unified School District serves more than 13,000 students throughout the community, as well as the town of Strathmore. The district is comprised of ten elementary schools, three middle schools, four comprehensive high schools, one magnet academy, one continuation high school, and two alternative sites.

Granite Hills High School, established in 1999, serves students in grades nine through twelve on a traditional calendar schedule. Curriculum is based on improving literacy, with special emphasis placed on cultural awareness. Diverse literature selections, annual multicultural week, community activities, and an extensive visual and performing arts program promote a climate of tolerance and acceptance among students and faculty.

During the 2012-13 school year, 1,061 students were enrolled at the school.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement

Parents and the community are very supportive of the educational programs in the Porterville Unified School District. The Booster Club plays an active role in the community and at each school site through fundraising and special activities.

Parents and guardians are always welcome on campus and can support their child's learning environment by:

- 1) Monitoring school attendance and academic progress using school-wide ABI tracking system
- 2) Participating in extra-curricular activities
- 3) Monitoring and regulating television viewing, while reinforcing good study habits at home
- 4) Planning and participating in activities at home that are supportive of classroom activities
- 5) Volunteering at school
- 6) Participating in decision-making processes by attending English Learner Advisory Committee and/or School Site Council meetings.

Contact Information

Parents who wish to participate in the school's leadership teams, school committees, school activities, or become a volunteer may contact the main office at (559) 782-7075. The district's website (www.portervilleschools.org) also provides a variety of helpful resources and information for parents, students, staff, and community members.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level	
Grade Level	Number of Students
Gr. 9	338
Gr. 10	282
Gr. 11	259
Gr. 12	215
Total	1,094

Student Enrollment by Group	
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	0.5
American Indian or Alaska Native	1.3
Asian	1.3
Filipino	0.7
Hispanic or Latino	81.9
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.4
White	13.4
Two or More Races	0.3
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	90.3
English Learners	35.9
Students with Disabilities	5.1

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution												
	Average Class Size			Number of Classrooms*								
				1-20			21-32			33+		
Year	11	12	13	11	12	13	11	12	13	11	12	13
English	23.9	23	20	16	20	25	32	26	25	3	1	8
Math	25.2	26	27	15	11	8	23	20	20	6	9	14
Science	31	27.1	26	2	7	8	8	22	24	14	3	3
SS	32.2	29.2	29	0	1	2	14	14	18	12	11	9

* Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area, English, Math, Science and Social Science (SS), rather than grade level.

Suspensions and Expulsions			
School	10-11	11-12	12-13
Suspensions Rate	9.76	14.61	10.88
Expulsions Rate	1.66	0.09	0.09
District	10-11	11-12	12-13
Suspensions Rate	11.96	11.83	7.97
Expulsions Rate	1.03	0.14	0.17

* The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment (and multiplying by 100).

School Safety Plan

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

The safety of students and staff is a primary concern of Granite Hills High School. Teachers and administrators supervise students on campus before and after school and during breaks, while noon-duty supervisors monitor students during the lunch break. All visitors must sign in at the principal's office and receive proper authorization to be on campus. Visitors are asked by the staff to display their pass at all times. PUSD has also mandated that all district employees wear proper identification badges.

The School Site Safety Plan was most recently revised in fall 2010 by the Assistant Principal. Key elements of the plan focus on emergency preparedness. The school is in compliance with the laws, rules, and regulations pertaining to hazardous materials and state earthquake standards. Emergency drills are held on a regular basis. In addition to the emergency drills, all PUSD employees have participated in Active Shooter Awareness Training, provided by Porterville Police Department.

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2013-14)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

Year and month in which data were collected: October 2013

Granite Hills High School was originally constructed in 1999 and is currently comprised of 58 classrooms (including portables), a library/media center, three computer labs, two science labs, two staff rooms, a cafeteria, six athletic fields, two gymnasiums, an olympic-size swimming pool, the main office, the guidance office, and the career center. Additionally, in 2002-03, construction of a 7,000-seat football/soccer stadium was completed, named for Jacob C. Rankin. In the summer of 2009 a new surface was added to "The Pyramid". The chart displays the results of the most recent school facilities inspection, provided by the district in December 2011.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2013-14)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The Overall Rating (bottom row)

School Facility Good Repair Status

System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[X]	[]	[]	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[]	[]	[X]	Library 200 Bldg - Some stained and damaged ceiling tiles. Multi-Use 300 bldg - Some stained and damaged ceiling tiles. Classroom 400 wing - Some stained and damaged ceiling tiles (rooms 404, 407, 408, 409, 410, 411, 412, 413, and 414). Classroom 500 wing - Some stained and damaged ceiling tiles (rooms 504, 505b, 507, 508, 509, 510, 511, 513, and 514a). Classroom 600 wing - Some stained and damaged ceiling tiles (rooms 603, 605, and 606). Gym 902 - Missign ceiling tiles. Classroom 1000 Wing - Stained or damaged ceiling tiles and small tear in tackboard fabric. Classroom 1100 Wing - Stained or damaged ceiling tiles and small tear in tackboard fabric. Sinks not working 500 building. Carpet is Badly Stained From Spilled Paint 800 building.
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[X]	[]	[]	
Electrical: Electrical	[X]	[]	[]	Gym 903 - Broken light lens. Gym 904 - Broken light lens. Main Gym- Light out Boys and Girls Locke Room- Light out
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[X]	[]	[]	Gym Building- Main Gym - Broken drinking fountain, missing tile at fountain area. Boys Restroom 1000 Building- Broken Hand Dryer/Graffiti On Wall/Broken Light Switch/Broken Urnal Water Fountain 1000 building- Water Fountain Doesn't Work

School Facility Good Repair Status

System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[X]	[]	[]	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[X]	[]	[]	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[X]	[]	[]	Gym Building- Mini Gym - Leaking at windows on occasion.
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
	[]	[X]	[]	[]

Teacher Credentials			
School	10-11	11-12	12-13
Fully Credentialed	49	49	49
Without Full Credential	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area	3	6	6
Districtwide	10-11	11-12	12-13
Fully Credentialed	♦	♦	563
Without Full Credential	♦	♦	4

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School			
School	11-12	12-13	13-14
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

Professional Development

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period.

A constructive evaluation process promotes quality instruction and is a fundamental element in a sound educational program. Evaluations and formal observations are designed to encourage common goals and to comply with the state's evaluation criteria and district policies. Temporary and probationary teachers are evaluated annually and tenured teachers are evaluated every other year.

Evaluations are conducted by site administrators, who have been trained and certified for competency to perform teacher evaluations. Evaluation criteria includes the following:

- Engaging and Supporting all Students in Learning
- Understanding and Organizing Subject Matter for Student Learning
- Assessing Student Learning
- Creating and Maintaining Effective Environments for Student Learning
- Planning Instruction and Designing Learning Experiences for all Students
- Developing as a Professional Educator

Staff members build teaching skills and concepts through participating in professional development that focus on improving instruction and assessment. Teacher collaboration time is utilized during Monday extended day schedule.

During this time, teachers are offered a broad-based variety of professional growth opportunities in curriculum, teaching strategies, and methodologies. The school uses the Best Practices teaching strategies and teachers are trained in the techniques each year. PUSD is currently transitioning and fostering change in the areas of Common Core, one to one technology and Linked Learning.

For additional support in their profession, teachers may enlist the services of the district's Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment (BTSA) facilitator and/or the Peer Assistance and Review (PAR) program.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* webpage at: www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/

Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers		
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	99.5	0.5
Districtwide		
All Schools	98.2	1.8
High-Poverty Schools	98.2	1.8
Low-Poverty Schools	0.0	0.0

* High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School	
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)	
Academic Counselor	1
Social/Behavioral or Career Development Counselor	1
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	1
Psychologist	1
Social Worker	
Nurse	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	
Resource Specialist	2
Other	
Average Number of Students per Staff Member	
Academic Counselor	

* One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assists students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

In addition to general state funding, Porterville Unified School District received state and federal categorical funding for the following support programs:

- Title I, Basic Grant
- Title II, Teacher Quality & Technology
- Title III, Limited English Proficiency

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	
School Site	\$6,853	\$727	\$6,126	\$65,867
District	♦	♦	\$6,336	\$69,248
State	♦	♦	\$5,537	\$68,841
Percent Difference: School Site/District			-3.3	-4.9
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			10.6	-4.3

* **Supplemental/Restricted** expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.

* **Basic/Unrestricted expenditures** are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: www.ed-data.org.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$42,106	\$40,933
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$63,598	\$65,087
Highest Teacher Salary	\$82,129	\$84,436
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$123,392	\$106,715
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$114,481	\$111,205
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$124,594	\$120,506
Superintendent Salary	\$155,487	\$207,812
Percent of District Budget		
Teacher Salaries	38.9%	39.8%
Administrative Salaries	4.0%	5.1%

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2013-14)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected: December 2011

Porterville Unified School District held a Public Hearing on September 6, 2011 and determined that each school has sufficient and good quality textbooks, instructional materials, or science lab equipment pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. the State of California. All students, including English Learners, are given their own individual standards-aligned textbooks or instructional materials, or both, in core subjects for use in the classroom and to take home. The chart below displays data collected in December 2011, regarding textbooks in use during the 2011-12 school year.

Textbooks and Instructional Materials		
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption	
Reading/Language Arts	Glencoe/McGraw Hill Adopted 2000	
The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes	
Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0.0%	Globe Book Company Adopted 1999
		MacMillan/McGraw Hill Adopted 1999
		McDougal Littell Adopted 2005
Mathematics	Addison-Wesley Adopted 2003	
The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes	
Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0.0%	Brooks/Cole Adopted 2001
		CPM Educational Adopted 1999
		McDougal Littell Adopted 2006
Science	Glencoe Adopted 2004	
The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes	
Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0.0%	McDougal Littell Adopted 2006
		Prentice Hall Adopted 2009
		Thomson Learning Adopted 2002
History-Social Science	Glencoe Adopted 1999	
The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption:	Yes	
Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook:	0.0%	McDougal Littell Adopted 2006
		McGraw-Hill Adopted 1999
		Prentice Hall Adopted 1999

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- **California Standards Tests (CSTs)**, which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics (Math) in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science (H-SS) in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- **California Modified Assessment (CMA)**, an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- **California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA)**, includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the Percent of Students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at star.cde.ca.gov.

STAR Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison									
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced								
	School			District			State		
	10-11	11-12	12-13	10-11	11-12	12-13	10-11	11-12	12-13
ELA	39	40	44	42	45	42	54	56	55
Math	14	21	20	41	44	41	49	50	50
Science	31	38	38	44	44	44	57	60	59
H-SS	36	45	38	35	37	36	48	49	49

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible. Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

2013 STAR Results by Student Group				
Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	ELA	Math	Science	H-SS
All Students in the LEA	42	41	44	36
All Student at the School	44	20	38	38
Male	39	18	40	42
Female	50	22	36	33
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	42	20	35	34
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander				
White	57	20	53	64
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	44	20	35	36
English Learners	9	9	4	7
Students with Disabilities	5	13	6	8
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	44	20	31	33

California Physical Fitness Test Results

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the Percent of Students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
9	24.2	19.3	34.7

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest webpage at dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

API Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison			
Group	Actual API Change		
	10-11	11-12	12-13
All Students at the School	-7	44	-13
Black or African American			
American Indian or Alaska Native			
Asian			
Filipino			
Hispanic or Latino	-9	48	-15
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander			
White	-3	28	
Two or More Races			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	-5	46	-14
English Learners	-4	30	-24
Students with Disabilities			

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The **statewide API rank** ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state. The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison			
API Rank	2010	2011	2012
Statewide	4	3	5
Similar Schools	6	3	8

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2013-14)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations webpage: www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2009-2010	2006-2007
Year in Program Improvement	Year 5	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	17	
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	89.5	

API Growth by Student Group - 2013 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2013 Growth API (API-G) at the school, district, and state level.

Group	School	District	State	
All Students at the School	Students	769	10,065	4,655,989
	API-G	731	742	790
Black or African American	Students	4	41	296,463
	API-G		742	708
American Indian or Alaska Native	Students	7	233	30,394
	API-G		683	743
Asian	Students	8	180	406,527
	API-G		815	906
Filipino	Students	6	93	121,054
	API-G		820	867
Hispanic or Latino	Students	655	7,923	2,438,951
	API-G	725	735	744
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	Students	3	26	25,351
	API-G		655	774
White	Students	80	1,452	1,200,127
	API-G	784	775	853
Two or More Races	Students	4	94	125,025
	API-G		758	824
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	Students	692	8,452	2,774,640
	API-G	725	730	743
English Learners	Students	295	3,765	1,482,316
	API-G	646	689	721
Students with Disabilities	Students	40	579	527,476
	API-G	405	494	615

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/.

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	No	No
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	No	No
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	No	No
Met API Criteria	No	No
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	Yes	No

Admission Requirements for California's Public Universities

University of California

Admission requirements for the University of California (UC) follow guidelines set forth in the Master Plan, which requires that the top one-eighth of the state's high school graduates, as well as those transfer students who have successfully completed specified college course work, be eligible for admission to the UC. These requirements are designed to ensure that all eligible students are adequately prepared for University-level work.

For general admissions requirements, please visit the UC Admissions Information webpage at www.universityofcalifornia.edu/admissions/. (Outside source)

California State University

Eligibility for admission to the California State University (CSU) is determined by three factors:

- Specific high school courses
- Grades in specified courses and test scores
- Graduation from high school

Some campuses have higher standards for particular majors or students who live outside the local campus area. Because of the number of students who apply, a few campuses have higher standards (supplementary admission criteria) for all applicants. Most CSU campuses have local admission guarantee policies for students who graduate or transfer from high schools and colleges that are historically served by a CSU campus in that region. For admission, application, and fee information see the CSU webpage at www.calstate.edu/admission/admission.shtml. (Outside source)

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements

This table displays, by student group, the number of students who were a part of the school's most recent graduating class for which CDE has available data and meet all state and local graduation requirements for grade twelve completion, including having passed both the ELA and mathematics portions of the CAHSEE or received a local waiver or state exemption.

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements			
Group	Graduating Class of 2013		
	School	District	State
All Students	236	1,226	---
Black or African American	2	12	---
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	18	---
Asian	2	25	---
Filipino	2	34	---
Hispanic or Latino	192	818	---
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander		1	---
White	33	308	---
Two or More Races	1	4	---
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	214	856	---
English Learners	81	351	---
Students with Disabilities	7	41	---

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate

Indicator	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12
Dropout Rate (1-year)	11.30	16.40	10.60
Graduation Rate	79.66	88.36	83.58
District			
Dropout Rate (1-year)	17.80	19.30	16.40
Graduation Rate	83.12	78.07	80.42
Dropout Rate (1-year)	16.60	14.70	13.10
Graduation Rate	80.53	77.14	78.73

* The National Center for Education Statistics graduation rate as reported in AYP is provided in this table.

California High School Exit Examination

The California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) is primarily used as a graduation requirement. However, the grade ten results of this exam are also used to establish the percentages of students at three proficiency levels (not proficient, proficient, or advanced) in ELA and mathematics to compute AYP designations required by the federal ESEA, also known as NCLB. For detailed information regarding CAHSEE results, see the CDE CAHSEE Web site at cahsee.cde.ca.gov/.

CAHSEE Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced

Subject	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
English-Language Arts	38	46	41
Mathematics	47	43	43
District			
English-Language Arts	46	46	43
Mathematics	51	49	49
English-Language Arts	59	56	57
Mathematics	56	58	60

Advanced Placement Courses (School Year 2011-12)

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science		---
English	4	---
Fine and Performing Arts		---
Foreign Language	4	---
Mathematics	2	---
Science	5	---
Social Science	4	---
All courses	19	2.9

* Where there are student course enrollments.

California High School Exit Examination Grade Ten Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

Group	English-Language Arts			Mathematics		
	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced
All Students in the LEA	57	23	20	51	36	14
All Students at the School	59	24	17	57	33	10
Male	65	20	15	56	36	9
Female	54	27	19	57	31	11
Black or African American						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Asian						
Filipino						
Hispanic or Latino	64	22	14	58	33	9
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander						
White	29	35	35	56	25	19
Two or More Races						
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	61	25	14	58	33	9
English Learners	100			87	13	
Students with Disabilities	100			95	5	
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	74	19	8	62	32	6

Career Technical Education Participation	
Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of pupils participating in CTE	412
Percent of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	89.0
Percent of CTE courses sequenced/ articulated between the school/ institutions of postsecondary education	43.0

Courses for University of California and/or California State University	
UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2012-13 Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	59.1
2011-12 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	24.6

Career Technical Education Programs

This section provides information about Career Technical Education (CTE) programs including:

- Programs and classes offered that are specifically focused on career preparation and or preparation for work
- How these programs and classes are integrated with academic courses and how they support academic achievement
- How the school addresses the needs of all students in career preparation and/or preparation for work, including needs unique to defined special populations of students
- The measurable outcomes of these programs and classes, and how they are evaluated
- State the primary representative of the district’s CTE advisory committee and the industries represented on the committee

This table displays information about participation in the school’s Career Technical Education (CTE) programs. For more information about Career/Technical Education and/ or the District’s Regional Occupation Program, please contact Cindy Brown at (559) 793-2406.